## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/506,808 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit Cuong H. Nguyen

3625

Mori et al.



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Cuong H. Nguyen	(3)
(2) Attorney Daniel Stanger (reg.# 32,846)	
Date of Interview May 2, 2002	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ application	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: none	·
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
The examiner considers that the pending application S.N. 09/506,808 is patentable with a Terminal Disclaimer on US Pat. 6,044,363.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

**CUONG H. NGUYEN** PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3625**